UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	Northern	for the District of	Ohi	0
United States of Amo))) (Case No.	5:25-CR-173
Demar Dawson)	_	
Defendant)		

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

the
✓ A. Motion of the Government or the Court's own motion for a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) because the defendant is charged with:
(1) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(2) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(3) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508); or
(4) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in
Subparagraphs (1) through (3) of this paragraph or two or more of such offenses if a
circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination thereof; or
(5) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves (a) a minor victim;
(b) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);(c) any other dangerous weapon; or (d) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250;
OR
 ■ B. Motion of the Government or the Court's own motion for a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2) because the case involves:
(1) a serious risk that the defendant will flee if released; or
(2) a serious risk that the defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice or
threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror if released.

The Court found that the Government established one or more of the factors above, held a detention hearing, and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

✓ A.	Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
	presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other
	person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
	✓ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
	§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
	or
	(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
	(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508); or
	(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in
	subparagraphs
	(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been
	offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
	(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves:
	(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18
	U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
	(2) the defendant has been convicted of a federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1),
	or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal
	jurisdiction had existed; and
	(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, State, or local offense; and
	(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
_	defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.
□ B.	Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the
	defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
	(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46 (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508);
	(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
	(2) an offense under 18 0.3.c. §§ 924(c), 930(a), of 2332b, (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
	or more is prescribed;
	(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18 (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581–1597) for which a maximum term of
	imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
	(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4),
	2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
✓ C.	Application of Any Presumption Established Above
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption.
	The defendant has rebutted the presumption.
	Page 7.014

Case: 5:25-cr-00173-SO Doc #: 13 Filed: 05/07/25 3 of 4. PageID #: 35

AO 472 (Rev. 1/25) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering any applicable presumption, the nature and circumstances of the defendant's alleged conduct, the defendant's history and characteristics, the other factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the information presented at the detention hearing, and the available conditions of release under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(c), the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

	clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure safety of any other person and the community.
	a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required.
	ns for detention include the following checked items (After this list, add any additional items or explanations as comply with the requirement for a written statement of reasons under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i).):
\checkmark	The offense charged is a crime of violence, a violation of § 1591, a federal crime of terrorism, or involves
	a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device.
\checkmark	Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong.
\checkmark	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted.
	Lack of significant family or other ties to the community.
	Significant family or other ties outside the United States.
	Lack of legal status in the United States.
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration.
	Lack of stable residence.
	Lack of stable employment.
	Lack of financially responsible sureties.
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement.
	Use of alias(es) or false documents.
	History of alcohol or substance abuse.
\checkmark	Prior criminal history.
\checkmark	History of violence or use of weapons.
\checkmark	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release.
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
\checkmark	On probation, parole, and/or release pending trial, sentence appeal, or completion of the sentence at the time
_	of the alleged offense.
	Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision.

The defendant's release poses serious danger to any person or the community.

Case: 5:25-cr-00173-SO Doc #: 13 Filed: 05/07/25 4 of 4. PageID #: 36

AO 472 (Rev. 1/25) Order of Detention Pending Trial

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

- (1) 18 USC 3142(g)(1): Mr. Dawson is charged with arson in violation of 18 U.S.C. 844(i), which is an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. 2332b(g)(5)(B) as a federal crime of terrorism and carries a maximum penalty of twenty years imprisonment. United States v. Schwartz, 2023 WL 3995637, at *7 (N.D. Ohio June 14, 2023). Accordingly, this factor weighs heavily in favor of detention based on danger to the community or others.
- (2) 18 U.S.C. 3142(g)(2):The Government proffered that Mr. Dawson drove to a gas station, pumped gasoline into a gasoline can, drove home, walked to the victim's house, and intentionally set fire to the victims' home by pouring gas on the victims' deck. The Government further proffered that Mr. Dawson had been in a romantic relationship with the female adult victim, and Mr. Dawson knew when he set the fire that the female adult victim and her minor daughter were inside the home. "Arson undisputedly poses a great risk of harm to the community both through the risk of personal injury to first responders and bystanders and through economic harm to the community." Schwartz, 2023 WL 3995637, at *7. This factor therefore weighs heavily in favor of detention based on danger to the community or others.
- (3) 18 U.S.C. 3142(g)(3): Mr. Dawson proffered that he is gainfully employed as a union bricklayer and would likely retain this job if released on bond. The Pretrial Services Report also reports that Mr. Dawson is a lifelong resident of the Northern District of Ohio and has strong family ties. But these positive factors pertain to the risk of flight, not danger to the community or others. And for the reasons set forth above, the Court has significant concerns regarding the danger to the community or others because arson is a crime of violence, and Mr. Dawson allegedly set fire to a residence and knew at the time that he did so that an adult woman and her minor child were inside.
- (4) 18 U.S.C. 3142(g)(4): For the reasons set forth above, this factor weighs heavily in favor of detention based on danger to the community or others.

The Court adopts the recommendation of Pretrial Services that there is no condition or combination of conditions that would reasonably protect the safety of the community or others. See United States v. Hanson, 2022 WL 1813585, at *6 (N.D. Ohio May 3, 2022) ("As the Second Circuit has recognized, home detention and electronic monitoring at best elaborately replicate a detention facility without the confidence of security such a facility instills.") Accordingly, detention is warranted based on danger to the community or others.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	5/7/2025	Jennifer Dowdell Armstrong
		U.S. Magistrate Judge